

LOCALLY FINITE MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

JONAS T. HARTWIG

ABSTRACT. This is a quick overview, intended for students, on the basics of weight modules, generalized weight modules, and locally finite modules over commutative algebras. These concepts often serve as a basis for representation theory of *non*-commutative algebras which contain a “large” commutative subalgebra.

1. GENERALIZED EIGENSPACE DECOMPOSITION

1.1. Linear algebra perspective. Let V be a finite-dimensional complex vector space and let $L : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation. Choose a basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ such that the matrix of L is in Jordan normal form. Then each v_i is a generalized eigenvector for L . For each eigenvalue λ of L , let $V(\lambda)$ be the corresponding span of generalized eigenvectors¹:

$$V(\lambda) = \{v \in V \mid \exists N \geq 0 : (L - \lambda \text{Id}_V)^N v = 0\}. \quad (1.1)$$

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ isn't an eigenvalue of L then $V(\lambda)$ is the zero subspace of V . Therefore we have

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} V(\lambda). \quad (1.2)$$

Note that from this direct sum we don't see what the structure of L restricted to $V(\lambda)$ is like. It could be diagonalizable or a single Jordan block, or something in between.

1.2. Module perspective. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$ be the algebra of complex polynomials in one variable and let V be a finite-dimensional A -module. Then the action of x on V is a linear transformation of V and thus

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} V(\lambda) \quad (1.3)$$

where now (letting \cdot stand for the A -module action)

$$V(\lambda) = \{v \in V \mid (x - \lambda)^N \cdot v = 0, N \gg 0\}. \quad (1.4)$$

1.3. Module perspective with maximal ideals. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the principal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda = (x - \lambda)$ is a maximal ideal of $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$. In fact, by the weak Nullstellensatz (Cor. 7.10 in [1]), every maximal ideal of A has this form. Furthermore, $(x - \lambda)^N \cdot v = 0$ iff $\mathfrak{m}_\lambda^N \cdot v = 0$. Thus we have

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{MaxSpec}(A)} V(\mathfrak{m}) \quad (1.5)$$

Date: February 22, 2023.

¹The statement $\exists N \geq 0 : (L - \lambda \text{Id}_V)^N v = 0$ is equivalent to that $\exists N \geq 0 \forall n \geq N : (L - \lambda \text{Id}_V)^n v = 0$ which is usually written $(L - \lambda \text{Id}_V)^N v = 0, N \gg 0$ where $N \gg 0$ means “for sufficiently large N ”. We will use this shorthand henceforth.

where $\text{MaxSpec}(A)$ is the *maximal spectrum* of A , defined as the set of maximal ideals of A , and

$$V(\mathfrak{m}) = \{v \in V \mid \mathfrak{m}^N \cdot v = 0, N \gg 0\}. \quad (1.6)$$

This decomposition can be generalized to arbitrary commutative \mathbb{k} -algebras A (where \mathbb{k} is any field) and finite-dimensional A -modules V . The goal of these notes is to show how this is achieved.

2. BASICS FROM COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

We will frequently reference [1] but most books on commutative algebra will contain the results cited. Let A be a commutative ring. The *nilradical* $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is the set of nilpotent elements in A :

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{a \in A \mid a^N = 0, N \gg 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 (Prp. 1.8 in [1]). $\mathcal{N}(A)$ equals the intersection of all prime ideals of A .

The *Jacobson radical* $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of A .

Two ideals I and J of A are *coprime* if $I + J = A$.

Theorem 2.2 (Remainder Theorem, Prp 1.10 in [1]). Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be ideals of a commutative ring A and let

$$\varphi : A \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^n A/I_k$$

be the ring homomorphism $\varphi(a) = (a + I_1, a + I_2, \dots, a + I_n)$.

- (i) φ is surjective iff I_j and I_k are coprime whenever $j \neq k$, in which case $\prod_{k=1}^n I_k = \cap_{k=1}^n I_k$.
- (ii) φ is injective iff $\cap_{k=1}^n I_k = (0)$.

An ideal of A is *nil* if it consists of nilpotent elements. An ideal I is *nilpotent* if $I^n = 0$ for some positive integer n . Every nilpotent ideal is nil, but the converse fails in general. (For example in $A = \mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, \dots]/(x_1, x_2^2, x_3^3, \dots)$ the ideal $I = (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots)$ is nil but not nilpotent.) However:

Lemma 2.3. Every finitely-generated nil ideal in a commutative ring is nilpotent.

The *radical* of an ideal $I \subset A$ is $\sqrt{I} = \{a \in A \mid a^N \in I, N \gg 0\}$. If \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of A then $\sqrt{\mathfrak{m}^k} = \mathfrak{m}$ for all positive integers k .

Lemma 2.4 (Prp. 1.16 in [1]). Let I and J be ideals of A such that \sqrt{I} and \sqrt{J} are coprime. Then I and J are coprime.

Theorem 2.5 (Weak Nullstellensatz, Cor. 7.10 in [1]). If \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of a finitely generated commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra (\mathbb{k} a field), then A/\mathfrak{m} is a finite field extension of \mathbb{k} .

3. GENERALIZED WEIGHT SPACE DECOMPOSITION FOR FINITE-DIMENSIONAL MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE \mathbb{k} -ALGEBRAS

Lemma 3.1. Let \mathbb{k} be a field and A be a finite-dimensional commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra.

- (i) Every prime ideal of A is maximal.
- (ii) The Jacobson radical $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is nilpotent.
- (iii) A has only finitely many maximal ideals.
- (iv) There exists a positive integer k such that

$$A \cong \frac{A}{\mathfrak{m}_1^k} \times \frac{A}{\mathfrak{m}_2^k} \times \dots \times \frac{A}{\mathfrak{m}_n^k} \quad (3.1)$$

as \mathbb{k} -algebras where $\{\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n\}$ is the set of all maximal ideals of A .

Proof. (i) Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A . Then $B = A/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite-dimensional integral domain. Let x be a nonzero element of B . Let $L_x : B \rightarrow B$ be the linear map of left multiplication by x : $L_x(y) = xy$ for $y \in B$. Since B is an integral domain, L_x is injective. Since B is finite-dimensional, L_x is surjective. Hence there exists $y \in B$ such that $xy = 1_B$. Therefore B is a field. Hence \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal of A .

(ii) Immediate by (i), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3.

(iii) Among the collection of finite intersections of maximal ideals of A , pick one, say $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$, of minimal dimension. Then for any other maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A , we have $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$ (otherwise we'd get a contradiction to the minimality of the dimension). This means that $\mathfrak{m} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_n$. Since \mathfrak{m} is maximal hence prime, $\mathfrak{m} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_i$ for some i . (Here we're using that a prime ideal containing an intersection of ideals must contain one of the ideals.) Since \mathfrak{m}_i is maximal, $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_i$.

(iv) Let $\{\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n\}$ be the set of all maximal ideals of A . By (ii) there exists a positive integer k such that $\mathcal{R}(A)^k = 0$. Thus $\prod_i \mathfrak{m}_i^k \subset (\cap_i \mathfrak{m}_i)^k = \mathcal{R}(A)^k = 0$. By Lemma 2.4, the ideals \mathfrak{m}_i^k are pairwise coprime. Thus the statement follows from the Remainder Theorem. \square

Definition 3.2. Let A be a commutative algebra over a field \mathbb{k} and let V be an A -module. We call V a *generalized weight module with respect to A* (or a *generalized A -weight module*) if

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{MaxSpec}(A)} V(\mathfrak{m}), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$V(\mathfrak{m}) = \{v \in V \mid \mathfrak{m}^N v = 0, N \gg 0\}. \quad (3.3)$$

We are now ready to prove:

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathbb{k} be a field, A be any commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra, and V be a finite-dimensional A -module. Then V is a generalized weight module with respect to A .*

Proof. Let I be the annihilator of V . Then A/I injects into $\text{End}(V)$ hence is a finite-dimensional algebra. By Lemma 3.1(iv), $A/I \cong \prod A/\mathfrak{m}_i^k$ where \mathfrak{m}_i are the (finitely many) maximal ideals of A containing I . Let e_i be the corresponding idempotents of A/I . Then $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$ where $V_i = e_i V$. Furthermore $\mathfrak{m}_i^k V_i = 0$. \square

4. GENERALIZATION TO LOCALLY FINITE CASE

Definition 4.1. Let A be a commutative algebra over a field \mathbb{k} and let V be an A -module. We say that V is *locally finite-dimensional for A* (or just *locally finite*) if every cyclic A -submodule of V is finite-dimensional: $\forall v \in V : \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(A.v) < \infty$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a commutative algebra over a field \mathbb{k} and let V be an A -module. If V is locally finite then V is a generalized weight module with respect to A . The converse holds if A is noetherian.*

Proof. Suppose V is locally finite-dimensional for A . Then any cyclic A -submodule of V is finite-dimensional, hence a generalized weight module by Theorem 3.3. Since V (like any module) is the sum of its cyclic submodules, V is itself a generalized weight module.

Conversely, suppose A is noetherian and that V is a generalized weight module. Let $v \in V$. Then v is a sum of finitely many generalized weight vectors. So it suffices to show that each generalized weight vector w generates a finite-dimensional submodule. If $\mathfrak{m}^N w = 0$ where \mathfrak{m} is a

cofinite maximal ideal of A , then Aw is a quotient of A/\mathfrak{m}^N . Since A is noetherian, each ideal \mathfrak{m}^k is finitely generated. Therefore $\mathfrak{m}^k/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$ is finite-dimensional as a vector space over A/\mathfrak{m} . By the weak Nullstellensatz, A/\mathfrak{m} is finite-dimensional over \mathbb{k} . Since A/\mathfrak{m}^N has a filtration $A/\mathfrak{m}^N \supseteq \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^N \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^2/\mathfrak{m}^N \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^{N-1}/\mathfrak{m}^N$ whose subquotients are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{m}^k/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$ each of which is finite-dimensional as a vector space over A/\mathfrak{m} , we conclude A/\mathfrak{m}^N is finite-dimensional over \mathbb{k} . Therefore Aw is also finite-dimensional over \mathbb{k} . \square

Example 4.3. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ be a polynomial algebra in a countably infinite set of variables x_i . Let $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ be the maximal ideal generated by the variables. Then $V = A/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is a generalized weight module with a single weight space because every element of V is annihilated by \mathfrak{m}^2 . On the other hand, V contains $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ which is infinite-dimensional with basis $\{\bar{x}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\bar{x}_i = x_i + \mathfrak{m}^2$. This shows that the phrase “if A is noetherian” cannot be removed from the statement of Theorem 4.2.

5. EXERCISE

Let $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of A -modules, where A is a commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra, \mathbb{k} a field. Prove that

1. V is locally finite iff U and W are locally finite.
2. V is a generalized weight module iff U and W are generalized weight modules.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. ATIYAH I. G. MACDONALD, *Introduction to Commuative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY, AMES, IA-50011, USA

Email address: `jth@iastate.edu`

URL: `http://jthartwig.net`