

J. Hartwig

[Reference: Chari-Greenstein
Advances, 2007]The current algebra of sl_2 and
its representations.DefLie algebra: $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$

- \mathfrak{g} vector space
- $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ the bracket on \mathfrak{g}

(i) $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is bilinear(ii) $[x, y] = -[y, x] \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ (iii) $[x[yz]] + [y[zx]] + [z[xy]] = 0$ Example $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mid a+d=0 \right\}$
with bracket

$$[x, y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x \quad \forall x, y \in sl_2.$$

Basis for sl_2 :

$$\left\{ e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, h = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

So $\dim sl_2 = 3$.

Relations:

$$[e, f] = h, [h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f$$

Def The **current algebra** of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is defined as

$$\mathfrak{g}[t] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i \mid a_i \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

with bracket determined by

$$[x t^m, y t^n] = [x, y] t^{m+n}$$

$$\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Note

1) $\dim \mathfrak{g}[t] = \infty$ for any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \neq 0$.

2) $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ is not simple, i.e. it has nonzero proper ideals:

$$\mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{g}[t] \cdot t^n = \left\{ \sum_{i=n}^N a_i t^i \mid a_i \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}$$

Then $[\mathfrak{g}[t], \mathfrak{a}_n] \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n$.

Modules over Lie algebras.

Def A \mathfrak{g} -module V is a vec.sp. with a bilinear map

$$\mathfrak{g} \times V \rightarrow V \\ (x, v) \mapsto x \cdot v$$

such that

$$[x, y] \cdot v = x \cdot (y \cdot v) - y \cdot (x \cdot v) \\ \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Example 1) $V = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is an sl_2 -module via $x \cdot v = X \cdot v$ (matrix mult.)

2) sl_2 is an sl_2 -module via $x \cdot y = [x, y]$.

3) $V_d = \text{Span} \left\{ x_1^a x_2^b \mid a+b=d-1 \right\}$ is a d -dimensional sl_2 -module via $\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \lambda_{ij} E_{ij} \right) \cdot f = \sum \lambda_{ij} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (f)$

where $E_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ & j \end{bmatrix}_i$ matrix units.

Def A \mathfrak{g} -module V is **simple** if the only subspaces $U \subseteq V$ with $\mathfrak{g} \cdot U \subseteq U$ are $\{0\}$ and V .

Ex The modules V_j exhaust all simple f.d. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules (up to isomorphisms).

Weyl's Theorem: Any f.d. module over a simple f.d. Lie alg \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple \mathfrak{g} -modules.

Ex Any f.d. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module is isomorphic to $V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{d_k}$, some $d_k \geq 1$.

Question What are the f.d. $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ -modules? (Open problem!)

Def A $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module V is **graded** if $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ and $x t^n \cdot V_m \subseteq V_{m+n}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

V is **graded-simple** if the only graded submodules are $\{0\}$ and V .

Motivation

Main object:

$U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ quantum affine algebras
and their modules

$q \rightarrow 1$ \Downarrow

$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ affine
Kac-Moody alg

res \Downarrow

$\mathfrak{g}[t]$ (standard parabolic in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$)

Every $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is a graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -module, via restriction.

Theorem The only finite-dimensional graded-simple $sl_2[t]$ -modules are the modules $V_d \cdot t^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with action

$$x t^m \cdot v t^n = \begin{cases} 0 & m \neq 0 \\ (x \cdot v) t^n, & m = 0 \end{cases}$$

Problem: Weyl's thm fails for $sl_2[t]$.

So direct sums of graded-simple $sl_2[t]$ -modules do not give all graded $sl_2[t]$ -modules.

Technically,

$$\text{Ext}^1(V_a t^r, V_b t^s) = 0 \quad \text{if } s \neq r+1 \text{ but}$$

$$\text{Ext}^1(V_a t^r, V_b t^{r+1}) \cong \text{Hom}_{sl_2}(V_a, sl_2 \otimes V_b)$$

\mathcal{G} category of f.d. graded $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ -modules.

Chari and Greenstein:

- \mathcal{G} has enough injectives.
- character formula for injective hulls of simples
- Ext^i between simples
- $\text{Simples} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \subseteq$ affine wt lattice.
- Ext quiver $Q(\Gamma)$ for the algebra $A(\Gamma) = \text{End}(I_\Gamma)$ where Γ is an interval in $\mathbb{P}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and I_Γ is the injective cogenerator of the full subcategory of objects with simple subquotients from Γ .

Example 1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $r_i \geq 0$, $|r_k - r_{k+1}| = 1$
 $\Gamma = \{(\lambda, r_0), (\lambda + \alpha, r_1), \dots, (\lambda + l\alpha, r_l)\}$

Then

$Q(\Gamma)$:  type A_{l+1}

and $A(\Gamma)$ is hereditary.

$$2) \quad \mathcal{Q} = \text{SP}_4, \quad \theta = \alpha + 2\beta$$

$$(2\theta - \beta, r+1)$$



$$(0, r+1) \longrightarrow (\theta, r) \longleftarrow (2\theta, r+1)$$



$$(\theta, r+1)$$