#### Solution for Math 301 Homework 3

### Section 2.1

**6.** If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $k \mid n$ , is it true that  $a \equiv b \pmod{k}$ ? Justify your answer.

**Solution:** If  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and k|n, then a - b = nr and n = ks for some  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$(a-b) = ksr \Rightarrow k | (a-b) \Rightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{k}.$$

**12.** If  $p \ge 5$  and p is prime, prove that [p] = [1] or [p] = [5] in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . [Hint: Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5.]

**Solution:** Suppose  $p \ge 5$  is prime. Let p = 6k + r, where  $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \le r < 6$ . By Corollary 2.5, we have [p] = [r]. Consider the following cases:

1.  $r = 0 \Rightarrow 6|p$ , a contradiction.

2.  $r = 2, 4 \Rightarrow 2|p$ , a contradiction.

3.  $r = 3 \Rightarrow 3|p$ , a contradiction.

Therefore, we have r = 1 or 5. Hence, [p] = [1] or [5] in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

#### 14.

(a) Prove or disprove: If  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , then  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  or  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ 

**Solution:** Let n = 6, a = 2 and b = 3.  $ab = 2 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  but  $2, 3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

(b) Do part (a) when n is prime.

**Solution:** Suppose n is prime and  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Then, by Theorem 1.5, we have

 $n|ab \Rightarrow n|a \text{ or } n|b \Rightarrow a \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \text{ or } b \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ 

16. If [a] = [1] in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , prove that (a, n) = 1. Show by example that the converse may be false.

**Solution:** If [a] = [1] in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , then  $n|(a-1) \Rightarrow a-1 = nr$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since 1 = a - nr = a(1) + n(-r) is the smallest positive number that can be expressed in the form au + bv, we have (a, n) = 1.

Alternative explanation: Suppose d = (a, n). Then

$$d|a \text{ and } d|n \Rightarrow d|(a - nr) \Rightarrow d|1.$$

Hence, d = 1.

A counterexample for the converse: Let a = 2, n = 3. Then (2,3) = 1 but  $[2] \neq [1]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

(a) Prove or disprove: If  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{n}$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  or  $a \equiv -b \pmod{n}$ .

**Solution:** The statement is not true. For a counterexample, let a = 3, b = 1 and n = 8. Then  $a^2 \equiv 9 \equiv 1 \equiv b^2 \pmod{8}$ . We have a - b = 2, a - (-b) = a + b = 4. Since  $8 \nmid (a - b)$  and  $8 \nmid (a - (-b))$ , we have  $a \not\equiv b \pmod{8}$  and  $a \not\equiv -b \pmod{8}$ .

(b) Do part (a) when n is prime.

**Solution:** Suppose n is prime and  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{n}$ . Then, by Theorem 1.5, we have

$$n|(a^2 - b^2) \Rightarrow n|(a - b)(a + b) \Rightarrow n|(a - b) \text{ or } n|(a + b).$$

 $n|(a-b) \Rightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  and  $n|(a+b) \Rightarrow n|(a-(-b)) \Rightarrow a \equiv -b \pmod{n}$ .

### 22.

(a) Give an example to show that the following statement is false: If  $ab \equiv ac \pmod{n}$  and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , then  $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$ .

Solution: Let a = 2, b = 3, c = 0 and n = 6. Then

 $2 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \equiv 2 \cdot 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  but  $3 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

(b) Prove that the statement in part (a) is true whenever (a, n) = 1.

**Solution:** Suppose (a, n) = 1 and  $ab \equiv ac \pmod{n}$ . Then by Theorem 1.4, we have

$$n|(ab - ac) \Rightarrow n|a(b - c) \Rightarrow n|(b - c) \Rightarrow b \equiv c \pmod{n}.$$

# Appendix C

15. What is wrong with the following "proof" that all roses are the same color. It suffices to prove the statement: In every set of n roses, all the roses in the set are the same color. If n = 1, the statement is certainly true. Assume the statement is true for n = k. Let S be a set of k + 1 roses. Remove one rose (call it rose A) from S; there are k roses remaining, and they must all be the same color by the induction hypothesis. Replace rose A and remove a different rose (call it rose B). Once again there are k roses remaining that must all be the same color by the induction hypothesis. Since the remaining roses include rose A, all the roses in S have the same color. This proves that the statement is true when n = k + 1. Therefore, the statement is true for all n by induction.

**Solution:** The conclusion "Since the remaining roses include rose A, all the roses in S have the same color." does not hold as in the following example.

Let  $S = \{A, B\}$  be a set of two roses with two different colors A and B. Each of  $S \setminus \{A\}$  and  $S \setminus \{B\}$  is a set contains only one rose. Thus, each of these sets contains roses of the same color but we cannot conclude that all roses in S are of the same color.

17. Let x be a real number greater than -1. Prove that for every positive integer  $n, (1+x)^n \ge 1+nx$ .

**Solution:** Let P(n) be the statement that  $(1 + x)^n \ge 1 + nx$ . For n = 1, we have  $(1 + x)^n = 1 + x = 1 + nx$ . Therefore, P(1) is true.

Suppose P(k) is true for some  $k \ge 1$ . Since  $x \ge -1 \Rightarrow (1+x) \ge 0$ , we have

 $(1+x)^{k+1} = (1+x)(1+x)^k \ge (1+x)(1+kx) = 1 + (k+1)x + kx^2 \ge 1 + (k+1)x.$ 

So P(k+1) is also true. Hence, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction, P(n) is true for all  $n \ge 1$ .

## Appendix D

**11.** Let  $\sim$  be defined on the set  $\mathbb{R}^*$  of nonzero real numbers by  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Prove that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

Solution:

- (i) **Reflxive:**  $a \in \mathbb{R}^* \Rightarrow a/a = 1 \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow a \sim a$ .
- (ii) Symmetric: Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^*$ .  $a \sim b \Rightarrow a/b \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow b/a = (a/b)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow b \sim a$ .
- (iii) **Transitive:** Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^*$ .

$$a \sim b$$
 and  $b \sim c \Rightarrow a/b, \ b/c \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow c/a = (a/b)(b/c) \in \mathbb{Q} \Rightarrow a \sim c.$ 

Therefore,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**17.** Let  $\sim$  be a symmetric and transitive relation on a set A. What is wrong with the following "proof" that  $\sim$  is reflexive:  $a \sim b$  implies  $b \sim a$  by symmetry; then  $a \sim b$  and  $b \sim a$  implies  $a \sim a$  by transitivity. [Also see Exercise 8(f).]

**Solution:** The problem is that given  $a \in A$ , there might not be any  $b \in A$  such that  $a \sim b$ . For example, let  $A = \{a, b\}$  and  $\sim$  is defined on A with only  $b \sim b$ . Then  $\sim$  is a symmetric and transitive relation on A but  $\sim$  is not reflexive because  $a \neq a$ .